

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/682,118	10/10/2003	Chih-Song Liu	BHT-3092-391	8192	
7590 03/26/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC			SCOTT, JAMES R		
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBURG PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041		2832			

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i></i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
-	10/682,118	LIU ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James R Scott	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/10	0/03 <u>AND 1/30/04</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)⊠ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the depending walls containing holes 42 should be labeled in figure 1. In figures 2 and 3, on the bott0om of the housing, the black is objected to. In the same figures the components 4,5,35,34,3,142,14, and 1 should be illustrated as plastics type material. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification contains terms or phraseology which is not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully to eliminate any idiomatic errors. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: On page 4, lines 18 note the phraseology: The axial joint member 3 has shape of plate--. Any other errors applicants may become aware of should be corrected.

Update the status of the U.S. application mentioned in the specification which has been assigned a patent number. Any other errors applicants may become aware of should be corrected.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2832

Claims

The claims are objected to since the claims contain idiomatic errors.

Claim 1, line 6 change –locating- to- located--.

Claim 1, line 9 verify what is meant by lap joining.

Claim 1, lines 10 and 11, clarify what is meant by the phraseology – "providing....extending a joining plate".

Line 17, change "contacting" to "engaging";

Line 23 before -an- insert -when-;

Line 24 change –contacts- to –engage- and change –detaches- to –detach-.

Line 26 –performing- could be changed to read as –turning-.

Claim 2, line 2 cancel --a—first two occurrences; same line change -extends-...respectively -- to ---includes an outwardly extending bow-shaped buckle--.

Noting claim 3 there is no elastic lock recited in claims 1 and 2. Clarify as to what structure constitutes the elastic lock.

Claim 5 does not clearly state the nature of the nodal points. Do the points interact?

Noting claim 7 the axial joint member is referred to as having two opposite axial plates. The specification refers to the axial joint member as a member, which has a shape of a plate, <u>not plates</u>.

Claim 9 is objected to for the same reasons as claim 1.

Any other errors applicant may become aware of should be corrected.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,118

Art Unit: 2832

Cited References

Osika (407A1, 073A1), Martin et al, Theurer, Fein et al, Creech and Lin constitute prior art related to hermetically sealed switches, in particular rocker switches. These patents constitute part of the prior art cited in the application referred to in applicants' specification. The Examiner cites Takeda et al, Chan et al and Arlauskas as additional prior art rocker switches with hermetic sealing means.

Summary

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters: Note the above comments with respect to the drawings specification and claims. Claims 1-10 are objected to but otherwise appear allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R Scott who can be reached at (571) 272-1999 after 2/03/2004. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 1 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad, can be reached at (571) 272-1990 after 2/03/2004. <u>Effective</u> immediately, all patent application related correspondence transmitted by FAX to the USPTO must be directed to the central FAX number (703-872-9306).

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

JRScott: jrs

3/13/2004